

Office of the Chief Economist

Innovation in Government Program Evaluation

Dr Katherine BarnesAngelina BrunoManager, Evaluation UnitEconomist, Industry and Firm Analysis Team

Office of the Chief Economist September 2019

1



Why are we innovating?

- Enhanced Commonwealth Performance Framework under the Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013.
- Encourage better evaluation strategies
- Review of our Evaluation Strategy.
- Improve program evaluation and policy development.
- Established a Data Management Strategy.
- 'Close the loop' between policy development, implementation and evaluation.



The programs being evaluated

Tasmanian Innovation and Investment Funds

Support investment aimed at creating sustainable new jobs and diversifying local economies.



Applicants need to demonstrate ability to cofinance projects.



Grants up to 50 per cent of eligible capital costs for projects.



Tied to specific regions.

Preference for projects that introduce new innovations and/or technology.

No funding offered for retrospective project expenditures.



Tasmanian Australian IIFs



A concurrent mixed methods methodology





Issues in quantifying program impact

• Without RCTs, how do we establish a sound counterfactual?

• Is financial performance data gathered in surveys reliable?

• Can we reduce our reliance on survey data?



Administrative data



Our data and approach

The **BLADE**



An overview of BLADE

Notes: BCS refers to the Business Characteristics Survey, EAS refers to the Economic Activity Survey, BAS refers to Business Activity Statement, BIT refers to Business Income Tax, and PAYG refers to Pay as you go.

Our data and approach

Analysis is often limited to 'simple firms'



Data

The linking process



Source: Department of Industry, Innovation and Science (2019)

Methodology

Matching estimator

Ensuring we have a good counterfactual

Stage 1 Simple vs complex	Stage 2 Matching			Stage 3 Average treatment effect
Due to the ambiguity surrounding location concentrate only on the "simple firms" There is no controversy or confusion regarding their location.	Treated	Counterfactual	 To control for selection biases, we match to firms that: Are of similar size Are in the same two-digit ANZSIC Are at the same point in time Similar past performance 	Matching treated firms with untreated firms allows us to observe differences in potential outcomes in FTE, turnover, labour productivity and capital expenditure growth.

Firm-level OCE research using matching estimators

Enterprise Connect

- Firms had higher turnover and employment growth
- Firms had increases in export sales and survival rates.

Commercialisation Australia

CA participants had higher research and development (R&D) and capital expenditure than similar firms

457 visa paper

Businesses which sponsored workers under the 457 scheme had, on average, higher turnover, employment and wages than other firms.

South Australian IIFs

South Australian IIFs had a positive additional impact on aspects of firm performance such as employment (FTE) and turnover.

Data

The linking process



Source: Department of Industry, Innovation and Science (2019)

111 unique firms from four Tasmanian IIFs were linked to the BLADE.

Results

Results



Labour productivity



0



Capital expenditure

2Y change 3Y change 4Y change 5Y change





Limitations of using BLADE for impact analysis

Only direct impacts on business performance can be observed
 Given small sample sizes, not always possible to measure impact for each program subset
 Currently cannot control for State Government assistance

Future work



Lessons learned

- Other agencies are happy to share.
- Can be difficult to combine datasets, as parameters are different.
- **Things change**. We have to be flexible. Get agreement for this early.
- More time. Allow extra time to get stakeholders on-board, to agree to terms to use their data, and to understand the different data sources.

Further information



Dr Katherine Barnes

Manager Office of the Chief Economist Department of Industry, Innovation and Science

Email: Katherine.Barnes@industry.gov.au



Follow us @economist_chief

Angelina Bruno

Economist Office of the Chief Economist Department of Industry, Innovation and Science

Email: Angelina.Bruno@industry.gov.au

