

Realist Evaluation, Grants Program Funding Rounds and Organisational Change





Today's talk

- Situate the evaluation
- Quick look at RE
- Organisational change aspect
- Utilisation





Background

HPB
ACTHPGP
4 rounds
HPSFR





Background

- Feb 2012
- Simply Strategic and Community Matters
- 2010-2011 Health Promotion Sponsorship Funding Round





Background

- \$360,000
- 25 organisations
- Sporting
- Recreational
- Cultural
- Arts





Health Promotion Sponsorship Funding Round

- Delivering a priority health message (promotional and educational)
- Moving towards becoming a health promoting organisation by creating healthy environments (structural)
- Increasing active participation in the organisation and the program (access and equity)



SmokeFreeACT









Why RE for this evaluation?

- Confusing previous findings
- Desire to learn
- Evaluation Framework

To be able to articulate:

In <these> kinds of organisations, <these> aspects of the funding round work well to generate <these> outcomes, which leads to <these> outcomes.



RE in brief

- What triggers change?
- Resources + reasoning = Mechanism







RE in brief

Context

environment	program and participants	geographic setting	values
beliefs	characteristics of staff	religion	population groups
culture	organisational setting	legislation	time period





RE in brief

Context (for whom) (what circumstances)

Mechanism (how)

Outcome

CMOCs





What was being investigated?

- Six questions
- Ten theory of change propositions
- Relevant question:
 - In what circumstances, in what respects and how does the round contribute to the creation of health promoting organisations? In what circumstances are changes sustained?
- Value of RE to HPB





What was different?

- Focus on propositions
- Deliberate intent to refine program theory during the evaluation process
- Focus on mechanisms





What did we learn?

- Explicit program theories
- Identified contexts





What else did we find?

- Contextual elements
 - size
 - management and staffing





Data quality?

- Data sources didn't match method
- Post-hoc evaluation; ongoing program





Utilisation

- Did Realist Evaluation provide useful information for the funding round?
- Was that information of a different type to other assessments of the round?
 - Will the HPB use Realist Evaluation again to evaluate funding rounds?





Meg Richens Simply Strategic

meg.richens@simplystrategic.com.au

Stephanie Leishman ACT Government Health Directorate

stephanie.leishman@act.gov.au

